

СОВЕРШЕНСТВОВАНИЕ МЕТОДОВ ЛЕЧЕНИЯ БОЛЬНЫХ С ТЯЖЕЛЫМИ ОЖОГАМИ

Хурсанов Ёкубжон Эркин угли

ассистент кафедры хирургических болезней №2 лечебного факультета
Самаркандский государственный медицинский университет
Самаркандский филиал РСНЭМП

Жуманов Хусниддин Алишер угли

магистр кафедры хирургических болезней №2 лечебного факультета
Самаркандский государственный медицинский университет
Самаркандский филиал РСНЭМП

Эргашев Ақобир Фахриддинович

магистр кафедры хирургических болезней №2 лечебного факультета
Самаркандский государственный медицинский университет
Самаркандский филиал РСНЭМП

Yokubjon1994@mail.ru

<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7593773>

Аннотация: Ожоги являются одной из самых распространенных травм, число пострадавших от ожогов во всем мире постоянно увеличивается. Все это определяет поиск новых высокоэффективных методов лечения ожоговых больных. Требованиями к лечению основной раны является создание оптимальной микросреды для заживления раны, высокая поглотительная способность по отношению к раневому экссудату, способность препятствовать попаданию микроорганизмов, достаточная газопроницаемость, паропроницаемость, эластичность, пирогенность, отсутствие антигенных, токсических, местных раздражителей и аллергических реакций. Современная литература по определению и методам раннего оперативного лечения, ранней некрэктомии у больных с глубокими ожогами. Представлены патогенетические основы ранних оперативных вмешательств при ожоговой болезни, применение которых приводит к улучшению результатов лечения.

Ключевые слова: тяжелообожженные, ожоговая болезнь, глубокие ожоги, ранее хирургическое лечение, ранние некрэктомии.

IMPROVEMENT OF TREATMENT METHODS FOR PATIENTS WITH SEVERE BURN

Annotation: Burns are one of the most common traumatic injuries. The number of burn victims worldwide is constantly increasing. All this determines the search for new highly effective methods of treatment of burn patients. Requirements for the treatment of the main wound is the creation of an optimal microenvironment for wound healing, high absorption capacity relative to the wound exudate, the ability to prevent the ingress of microorganisms, sufficient permeability to gases, water vapor, elasticity, pyrogenicity, lack of antigen, toxic, local irritants and allergic reactions. Current literature data on the definition and methods of early surgical treatment, early necrectomy in patients with deep burns. The pathogenetic basis of early surgical interventions for burn disease is presented, the use of which leads to improved treatment outcomes.

Key words: severely burned, burn disease, deep burns, earlier surgical treatment, early necrectomy.

INTRODUCTION.

The treatment of burn patients is still a complex medical, social and economic problem. The proportion of burn injury victims has doubled over the past 31 years [48], accounting for 5–12% among peacetime injuries and occupying 2nd–3rd place in the structure of injuries [2]. Given the rapid pace of technological progress, in the near future it can be assumed that over an average of 60 years of life, each of the 50 inhabitants of the planet may be hospitalized for thermal injury, while one in 550 is more likely to die [46]. The studies of the same authors indicate that in the United States about 2 million people annually receive a burn injury, and 20% of them are treated in specialized hospitals. Among the category under consideration, there is a high proportion of victims with deep and extensive burns, the treatment of which is the most difficult problem of modern combustiology. The main thing in the treatment of patients with thermal injury is the earliest possible restoration of the lost skin [3, 4, 7, 23, 44]. The solution to this problem is implemented by two approaches: 1) early surgical treatment (RCL), which consists in the excision of necrotic tissues, followed by one-stage or delayed autodermoplasty; 2) conservative preparation of wounds, which involves the use of necrolytic preparations that promote the rejection of necrotic tissues, followed by dermoplasty, or plastic closure of granulating wounds after spontaneous rejection of necrotic tissues due to biological patterns of evolution of the wound process. Deep burns do not fundamentally differ from traumatic wounds of various origins, where nonviable tissues are present [22, 36]. As far back as 1898, P. Friedrich substantiated the justification for surgical treatment of an infected wound in an experiment by the desire to overtake the “infection with a knife”. The first attempts at surgical treatment of burns were made at the beginning of the last century, but the real successful results of early necrectomy (RN) were achieved later [44,46,49].

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Despite the theoretical validity and, it seemed, obvious advantages, RCL of severely burned patients has not found due wide distribution in the CIS countries, although the possibilities and implementation of this method of treatment are constantly discussed in specialized literature, at congresses and conferences [1, 27, 28, 32, 34, 44, 47]. The most significant reasons for refusing early excision of necrotic tissues of burn wounds are: 1) the lack of objective clinical verification of the depth of the lesion on the first day after thermal injury. The use of ultrasound diagnostic methods [21, 54, 59, 65, 69, 71, 73], dyes and fluorescents (41), thermography [18], magnetic resonance imaging [11], histological studies [19] is limited due to their low information content or high cost. Therefore, in practice, as a rule, the depth of the lesion is assessed by appearance, signs indicating a violation of blood circulation, the state of pain sensitivity (needle prick, applications with irritating liquids, hair pulling test). It is necessary to take into account the polymorphism and mosaic depth of the lesion, and, as a result, the "unpredictability" of the viability of the epithelium of skin derivatives, which causes the frequency of errors in determining the dermal lesion [16]. The nature of necrosis also affects the error rate in diagnosing the depth of the burn.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

So, with wet necrosis in the first 3-5 days, the correct determination of the depth of the lesion was noted in 39.7% of those burned, with dry necrosis, early diagnosis was reliable in 52.1% [47]; 2) traumatic ROP and the accompanying significant blood loss, which depends on the method of excision of necrotic tissues, methods of hemostasis, the timing of the operation, the age of the burnt, the presence of concomitant diseases. In addition, with extensive necrectomy with simultaneous autodermoplasty, there is a high risk of an unfavorable outcome due to additional

loss of the skin in the donor areas and blood loss when autodermografts are taken [46]; 3) late hospitalization in a specialized hospital, due to insufficient knowledge of surgeons about the possibility of RCL [21]; 4) lack of sufficient blood and protein preparations [28]; 5) the impossibility of implementing an adequate anesthesiological and resuscitation aid, suggesting optimal methods of anesthesia and finding burned patients in the intensive care unit with constant monitoring of hemodynamics and laboratory parameters [32]; 6) absence of allogeneic skin banks [40]; 7) the basic principle of medicine “Primum non nocere” that puts pressure on surgeons. Fear of “harm” in the case of early excision of the scab, an increase in wound surfaces when taking autodermal grafts, the risk of their rejection due to non-radical excision of necrosis, or detachment with hematomas leads to waste in the position of conservative methods of preparing burn wounds, when subsequent autodermotransplantation on prepared granulations suggests a positive result. But such a state of the wound can not always be achieved, since “with extensive and deep burns, the expectation of spontaneous tissue rejection is associated with a restless feeling of an outside observer of the patient’s gradually deteriorating condition. Death may occur earlier than surgical intervention becomes possible” [45]. It is an axiom that the results of the treatment of burn disease depend on the timing of the restoration of the lost skin, so RCL, under certain indications, should be more actively introduced into clinical practice. The issues of indications and contraindications to RCL, terms and volumes of ROP, methods and time of closing burn wounds remain debatable [15, 48]. Indications for early excision of necrotic tissue occur when: 1) authentically deep burns; 2) the absence of burn shock, early sepsis and acute inflammation in wounds and surrounding tissues intact from burns; 3) terms from the moment of receiving a burn, not exceeding 5-7 days; 4) localization of burns on the extremities. Early necrectomy is not indicated for: 1) an extremely severe condition of the burned person, due to the extensiveness of the lesion; 2) severe thermochemical damage to the upper respiratory tract; 3) generalization of infection, septic course of the disease; 4) development of wet necrosis in burn wounds; 5) localization of deep burns in the neck, armpits, perineum, scalp. ROP can be performed with stabilization of hemodynamics and external respiration function, sufficient diuresis, positive dynamics of laboratory blood parameters. Analyzing the literature data [26, 31, 41, 46], The optimal time for early excision of non-viable tissues in severely burned patients is 5-7 days from the moment of injury, since after the recovery from shock, the body's compensatory capabilities remain, the patient's condition remains stable, local inflammation and regional edema are moderately expressed, and there is less likelihood of errors in determining the boundaries of a deep lesion. Excision of necrotic tissues along the line of demarcation is possible only at a later date, but even then purulent fusion of tissues, a pronounced local inflammatory reaction and worsening of the condition are noted. Data on possible volumes of necrectomy are contradictory. However, due to the traumatic nature of such operations, according to the majority of combustiologists [35, 47], the area of simultaneously excised tissues should not exceed 10-15% of the body surface with appropriate anesthetic and transfusiological support in a specialized hospital. Important points in determining the volumes of CR are the localization of burns, the features of operational equipment. Depending on the volume of excised tissues, RH is divided into: 1) small - removal of the scab up to 5% of the body surface; 2) limited in area - excision of non-viable tissues in an area of 5-10%; 3) extensive - excision of the scab from 10 to 20% of the body surface; 4) large-scale - removal of necrotic tissues with an area of more than 20% [31]. Operational and technical assistance in ROP is carried out in the following ways: tangential (layered) excision of the scab to a certain depth, or simultaneous excision to obviously viable tissues (subcutaneous tissue, fascia, muscles). Tangential excision is indicated

for the mosaic nature of the lesion, which contributes to the preservation of the epithelial elements of skin derivatives, allows you to reveal the depth of the lesion, and reduces the compression of the underlying deep layers of the skin. To perform tangential necrectomy, rotary-type dermatomes are used with a gap set to a tissue cut thickness of 0.3-1.0 mm, or necrotomes (such as a Gumbo knife) with a cut width limiter of 5-10 cm and a gap set to a thickness of 0.2-1.0 mm, producing layer-by-layer removal of necrotic tissues. To reduce blood loss during tissue excision, electrosurgical instruments are also used [30], laser surgical units of crystalline (neodymium) continuous action and gas (carbon dioxide) pulsed type (10, 11), and a plasma scalpel [38]. Noted that when using a laser beam and a plasma scalpel, a 3-5-fold decrease in blood loss occurs due to the cauterization of blood vessels up to 3 mm in diameter occurring during excision, but the excision time increases by 2 times. However, these methods, due to the difficulties of technical support, have not yet become widespread, despite their apparent promise. Scalpel excision involves the execution of fringing and segmental incisions to the depth of necrosis (within the dermis, to the fascia, muscles). The edges of each segment are sequentially taken on clamps and lifted, then the entire array of necrotic tissues is excised segment by segment. Stopping bleeding is carried out by ligation, stitching, or electrocoagulation. Blood loss in ROP is one of the factors limiting their widespread use. averaging 1 ml per 1 cm² of the excised wound [5,7,9,11,15]. Therefore, when excising non-viable tissues with an area of 5-10% of the body surface, intraoperative blood loss reaches up to 1000.0 ml, and in some cases - in case of violation of the blood coagulation system - significantly exceeding the indicated indicators. Removal of necrotic tissues on the extremities is expediently performed under a tourniquet. Smaller blood loss occurs when the scab is excised at the level of subcutaneous tissue and fascia, since diffuse bleeding is not observed. The volume of blood loss in ROP can be leveled using the method of autotransfusion - transfusion of blood taken from a patient before surgery and reinfused during or after surgery. Hemodilution performed in the preoperative period by introducing an excess amount of fluid into the vascular bed, leads to relatively less blood loss. It is also possible to collect (utilize) blood during necrectomy on the extremities - before excision of necrosis, a vein is punctured in intact skin areas distal to the tourniquet, receiving up to 75-110 ml of blood in the shoulder area and 130-160 ml in the thigh area, followed by reinfusion after hemostasis [41]. And, of course, timely and adequate compensation for blood loss is necessary to prevent acute hemodynamic disorders during surgery and postoperative anemia. The methods and time of recovery of the skin cover after the performed ROP are different and depend on the chosen method of excision of the scab, the location of the lesion, and the availability of donor resources. There are primary, primary delayed, secondary early and secondary late skin grafts [14]. The first two types of autodermoplasty are performed on the wound after necrectomy (immediately after it, or after a few days, but before the appearance of granulations). Secondary early plasty is performed on a granulating wound surface after rejection or surgical removal of non-viable tissues from 2-4 weeks after thermal injury. With secondary late plasty, plastic closure of the wound is performed after the removal of granulations. The main method of skin restoration after ROP is transplantation of solid or perforated split autoskin flaps [29, 31]. Non-free skin grafting is used only after excision of the affected deep anatomical structures, mainly on the hands. Also in similar cases, plasty with flaps with axial blood supply is used [29]. In the severely burned Even despite the use of economical methods of skin grafting, there is a shortage of donor skin resources even with deep burns of 16% of the body surface [41]. In this regard, an important link in the treatment is the use of wound dressings to prevent infection of wounds and depletion of the body, as a preparation for

subsequent skin grafting, in order to quickly epithelialize donor sites. Wound dressings include allogeneic human skin (cadaveric or from a living donor) [45, 46], xenoskin [17, 33, 43], embryonic membranes, and synthetic skin substitutes [31]. Allogeneic cadaver skin is most often used both as a temporary wound cover and in combined plastic surgery, when autologous and allogeneic skin are simultaneously transplanted onto the wound in different combinations (alternating strips of auto- and allogeneic skin - the Moulen-Jackson method, or the “double mesh” method, when after excision of the scab, perforated 1:6 - 1:10 autoskin flaps are transplanted onto the wounds, over which the allo-skin is superimposed) [40]. Promising methods of restoring the skin using biotechnological methods - grown in vitro keratinocytes and allogeneic fibroblasts, but their use is limited due to the need for expensive equipment and the complexity of the process [6, 9, 19, 20, 39, 41]. RCL has found its place in the treatment of severely burned children [18, 24, 27, 32]. Excision of devitalized tissues and replacement of the resulting defects leads to a decrease in the possibilities of complications in all periods of burn disease, is the prevention of cicatricial contractures and deformities [8, 12, 13, 42, 49]. However, there is an opinion [34] that after ROP, severe cicatricial deformities are formed in children, and in the absence of a threat to life, delayed skin grafting should be the method of choice. Significant difficulties in the treatment of burned elderly and senile age are not only extensive, but also local burns. But even in this group of patients, active surgical tactics, which is based on ROP with simultaneous or delayed skin grafting, can reduce the duration of treatment and reduce mortality from purulent complications of burn disease by 1.5 times [5, 25, 37]. Thus, RCL of severely burned patients involves the removal of a burn scab before the development of infectious complications, due to the fact that infection is one of the leading factors determining the pathogenesis of not only burn wounds, but also burn disease in general. A significant mass of devitalized tissues leads to intensive growth of microorganisms that contribute to the development of metastatic purulent foci in the internal organs. Microbial toxins and tissue breakdown products play a significant role in the development of sepsis. The terms of healing of burn wounds are determined not only by the area of burns, but by the mass of dead tissues and the speed of cleansing wound surfaces from burn eschar with subsequent dermotransplantation. Excision of necrotic tissues contributes to the interruption of burn disease in the stage of toxemia and the prevention of the development of septicotoxemia. Optimal conditions are created for engraftment of dermografts.

CONCLUSION

Therefore, the implementation of RN in burn disease leads to a decrease in mortality, reduction of terms and improvement of functional results of treatment. Carrying out early necrectomy in case of burn disease is pathogenetically justified, however, it has its own characteristics, the observance of which determines the course and outcome of the disease in severely burned patients.

References:

1. Mustafakulov I. B. et al. Evaluaton of the effectiveness of multi-stage surgical tactics for liver damage // World journal of advance healthcare research. 2020, 4(3), 264-266.
2. Mustafakulov I. B. et al. Severe associated trauma to the abdomen diagnosis and treatment // European journal of pharmaceutical and medical research. 2020, 7(6), 113-116
3. Mustafakulov I.B. et al. Aetiology and outcome of combined closed trauma of the abdomen according to the date of Samarkand hospital // Journal of Surgery, 2013; 1 (5): 73-76.

4. Mustafakulov I.B. et al. Intra-abdominal Hypertension at Combined Injuries of the Abdominal Organs//American Journal of Medicine and Medical Sciences 2019, 9(12): 499-502.
5. Mustafakulov I.B. et al. Same Different Surgical Treatment of Bladder Injuries in Abdominal Polytrauma // Saudi Journal of Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences. Vol-2, Iss-3 (Mar, 2016): 59-61.
6. Abdurakhmanovich A. A., Akhtamkhon E., Alisherovich U. K. Pathogenesis, Clinic and Principles of Treatment of Burn Shock //Eurasian Research Bulletin. – 2022. – T. 9. – C. 20-25.
7. Abdurakhmanovich A. A., Furkatovich A. R. Methods of early surgical treatment of Burns //Web of Scientist: International Scientific Research Journal. – 2022. – T. 3. – №. 6. – C. 528-532.
8. Abdurakhmanovich A. A., Furkatovich A. R. Methods of early surgical treatment of Burns //Web of Scientist: International Scientific Research Journal. – 2022. – T. 3. – №. 6. – C. 528-532.
9. Abduraxmanovich A. A. et al. POSSIBILITIES OF APPLYING MINIMALLY INVASIVE TECHNOLOGIES IN TREATMENT OF SEVERE ACUTE PANCREATITIS //CENTRAL ASIAN JOURNAL OF MEDICAL AND NATURAL SCIENCES. – 2021. – T. 2. – №. 3. – C. 246-249.
10. Avazov A. A. STRATEGIC ISSUES IN THE CONSTRUCTION OF NUCLEAR POWER PLANTS //Conference Zone. – 2022. – C. 202-203.
11. Avazov A. CLASSIFICATION OF FACTORS INFLUENCING THE EFFECTIVENESS OF PERSONNEL ACTIVITIES IN INDUSTRIAL ENTERPRISES //International Finance and Accounting. – 2019. – T. 2019. – №. 5. – C. 17.
12. Erkinovich K. Y. METHODS OF EARLY SURGICAL TREATMENT OF BURNS //Central Asian Research Journal for Interdisciplinary Studies (CARJIS). – 2022. – T. 2. – №. Special Issue 4. – C. 184-188.
13. Erkinovich K. Y. METHODS OF EARLY SURGICAL TREATMENT OF BURNS //Central Asian Research Journal for Interdisciplinary Studies (CARJIS). – 2022. – T. 2. – №. Special Issue 4. – C. 184-188.
14. Gulamov O. M. et al. Modern methods of diagnosis and treatment of barrett esophagus //Doctor's herald. – 2020. – T. 2. – C. 94.
15. Mukhammadiev M. et al. INDICATORS OF ORGAN DEFICIENCY DEVELOPMENT IN ACUTE PANCREATITIS //InterConf. – 2021. – C. 738-753.
16. Ruziboev S. A. et al. Results Of Treatment Of Acute Diffuse Purulent Peritonitis Using Laparostomy //The American Journal of Medical Sciences and Pharmaceutical Research. – 2020. – T. 2. – №. 11. – C. 66-71.
17. Ruziboev S. et al. TREATMENT OF ACUTE ADHESIVE INTESTINAL OBSTRUCTION WITH MINI-INVASIVE METHODS //InterConf. – 2021. – C. 768-773.
18. Shakirov B. M., Avazov A. A., Umedov X. A. Peculiarities of hand burn treatment in the conditions of moist medium //ISJ Theoretical & Applied Science, 04 (108). – 2022. – C. 289-291.
19. Shakirov B. M., Avazov A. A., Umedov X. A. Peculiarities of hand burn treatment in the conditions of moist medium //ISJ Theoretical & Applied Science, 04 (108). – 2022. – C. 289-291.

20. АБАЗОВ А. А. и др. KUYISHLARDA ERTA XIRURGIK DAVOLASH USULLARI //ЖУРНАЛ БИМЕДИЦИНЫ И ПРАКТИКИ. – 2022. – Т. 7. – №. 4.
21. АБАЗОВ А. А. и др. KUYISHLARDA ERTA XIRURGIK DAVOLASH USULLARI //ЖУРНАЛ БИМЕДИЦИНЫ И ПРАКТИКИ. – 2022. – Т. 7. – №. 4.
22. АБАЗОВ А. А. и др. QO'SHMA SHIKASTLANISHLARDA QORIN BO'SHLIG'I A'ZOLARINING OG'IR DARAJADAGI SHIKASTLANISHLARINI DIAGNOSTIKA VA DAVOLASHDA HAL ETILMAGAN MUAMMOLLARI //ЖУРНАЛ БИМЕДИЦИНЫ И ПРАКТИКИ. – 2022. – Т. 7. – №. 3.
23. АБАЗОВ А. А. и др. QO'SHMA SHIKASTLANISHLARDA QORIN BO'SHLIG'I A'ZOLARINING OG'IR DARAJADAGI SHIKASTLANISHLARINI DIAGNOSTIKA VA DAVOLASHDA HAL ETILMAGAN MUAMMOLLARI //ЖУРНАЛ БИМЕДИЦИНЫ И ПРАКТИКИ. – 2022. – Т. 7. – №. 3.
24. Авазов А. А. и др. АЛЛОПЛАСТИЧЕСКАЯ ГЕРНИОПЛАСТИКА ПРИ УЩЕМЛЕННЫХ ВЕНТРАЛЬНЫХ ГРЫЖАХ //Молодежь и медицинская наука в XXI веке. – 2014. – С. 536-537.
25. Авазов А. А. и др. ПАТОГЕНЕЗ, КЛИНИКА, ПРИНЦИПЫ ЛЕЧЕНИЯ ОЖОГОВОГО ШОКА //Вестник науки и образования. – 2021. – №. 3-2 (106). – С. 99-102.
26. Авазов А. А., Джумагелдиев Ш. Ш., Исламов Н. К. ДИАГНОСТИКА РАЗЛИЧНЫХ ФОРМ ОСТРОГО ПАНКРЕАТИТА //Молодежь и медицинская наука в XXI веке. – 2014. – С. 535-536.
27. Авазов А. А., Хурсанов Ё. Э. У., Мухаммадиев М. Х. ВОЗМОЖНОСТЬ ПРИМЕНЕНИЯ ИНТЕГРАЛЬНОЙ ШКАЛЫ BISAP ДЛЯ ПРОГНОЗИРОВАНИЯ РАЗВИТИЯ ТЯЖЕЛОГО ОСТРОГО ПАНКРЕАТИТА //Research Focus. – 2022. – Т. 1. – №. 2. – С. 158-164.
28. Авазов А. А., Хурсанов Ё. Э. У., Шакиров Б. М. ҚЎЛНИНГ ЧУҚУР КУЙИШИНИ ДАВОЛАШ ТАКТИКАСИ //Research Focus. – 2022. – №. Special issue 1. – С. 35-42.
29. Авазов А. А., Хурсанов Ё. Э. У., Шакиров Б. М. ҚЎЛНИНГ ЧУҚУР КУЙИШИНИ ДАВОЛАШ ТАКТИКАСИ //Research Focus. – 2022. – №. Special issue 1. – С. 35-42.
30. Авазов А. А., Хурсанов Ё. Э. У., Шакиров Б. М. ҚЎЛНИНГ ЧУҚУР КУЙИШИНИ ДАВОЛАШ ТАКТИКАСИ //Research Focus. – 2022. – №. Special issue 1. – С. 35-42.
31. Азизов М.Ж. Состояние и пути профилактики дорожно-транспортного травматизма в Республике Узбекистан // Вестник экстренной медицины. - 2010.- №2. - с. 7.
32. Гавришук Я.В., Кажанов И.В., Тулупов А.Н. и др. Минимально инвазивное лечение пострадавшей с повреждением селезенки // Вестник хирургии им. И.И.Грекова, 2019.-N 4.-С.58-60.
33. Даминов Ф. А. и др. Особенности лечебного питания для ранней профилактики желудочнокишечных осложнений у обожженных //Журнал Неотложная хирургия им. ИИ Джанелидзе. – 2021. – №. S1. – С. 21-21.
34. Даминов Ф. А. и др. Синдром кишечной недостаточности и его коррекция у тяжелообожженных //Журнал Неотложная хирургия им. ИИ Джанелидзе. – 2021. – №. S1. – С. 20-21.

35. Даминов Ф. А., Карабаев Х. К., Хурсанов Ё. Э. ПРИНЦИПЫ МЕСТНОГО ЛЕЧЕНИЯ ОЖОГОВЫХ РАН У ТЯЖЕЛООБОЖЖЕННЫХ (Обзор литературы) //Research Focus. – 2022. – Т. 1. – №. 3. – С. 133-142.
36. Даминов Ф. А., Хурсанов Ё. Э., Карабаев Х. К. НАШ ОПЫТ ПРОФИЛАКТИКИ И ЛЕЧЕНИЯ ПОЛИОРГАННОЙ НЕДОСТАТОЧНОСТИ У ТЯЖЕЛООБОЖЖЕННЫХ //Research Focus. – 2022. – Т. 1. – №. 3. – С. 143-151.
37. Джаббаров Ш. Р., Хурсанов Ё. Э. У. STANDARDIZATION OF THE THERAPEUTIC DIAGNOSTIC APPROACH FOR COMBINED CLOSED INTESTINAL INJURY //Research Focus. – 2022. – Т. 1. – №. 3. – С. 120-132.
38. Джаббаров Ш. Р., Хурсанов Ё. Э. У. ПОСЛЕОПЕРАЦИОННОЙ ЛЕТАЛЬНОСТИ ПРИ ОСТРОМ ХОЛЕЦИСТИТЕ //Research Focus. – 2022. – Т. 1. – №. 3. – С. 152-161.
39. Карабаев Х. К. и др. Изучение частоты и вида сердечнососудистой патологии у обожженных //Журнал Неотложная хирургия им. ИИ Джанелидзе. – 2021. – №. S1. – С. 28-29.
40. Курбонов Н. А., Ахмедов Р. Ф. MODERN APPROACHES TO THE TREATMENT OF DEEP BURNING PATIENTS //УЗБЕКСКИЙ МЕДИЦИНСКИЙ ЖУРНАЛ. – 2022. – Т. 3. – №. 2.
41. Мустафакулов И. Б. и др. INTESTINAL INJURIES IN COMBINED ABDOMINAL TRAUMA //УЗБЕКСКИЙ МЕДИЦИНСКИЙ ЖУРНАЛ. – 2021. – №. SPECIAL 1.
42. Мустафакулов И. Б. и др. OPTIMIZATION OF INTENSIVE THERAPY FOR BURN SHOCK //УЗБЕКСКИЙ МЕДИЦИНСКИЙ ЖУРНАЛ. – 2021. – №. SPECIAL 1.
43. МУСТАФАКУЛОВ И. Б. и др. QO'SHMA ABDOMINAL SHIKASTLANISHLARIDA" DEMAGE CONTROL" QO'YISH TAKTIKASI //ЖУРНАЛ БИОМЕДИЦИНЫ И ПРАКТИКИ. – 2022. – Т. 7. – №. 3.
44. Мустафакулов И. Б. и др. SURGICAL TACTICS IN CASE OF ISOLATED INJURIES OF SMALL AND LARGE INTESTINE //УЗБЕКСКИЙ МЕДИЦИНСКИЙ ЖУРНАЛ. – 2022. – Т. 3. – №. 2.
45. Мустафакулов И., Умедов Х. СОВРЕМЕННЫЕ ТАКТИЧЕСКИЕ ПОДХОДЫ В ЛЕЧЕНИИ ТРАВМАТИЧЕСКИХ ПОВРЕЖДЕНИЙ ПЕЧЕНИ //Журнал гепатогастроэнтерологических исследований. – 2020. – Т. 1. – №. 2. – С. 48-51.
46. Мустафакулов И.Б., Тиляков А.Б., Карабаев Х.К., Мизамов Ф.О. Закрытая сочетанная травма живота. Мет. рекомендации. Самарканд – 2019
47. Мустафакулов И., Умедов Х. СИНДРОМ ВНУТРИБРЮШНОЙ ГИПЕРТЕНЗИИ ПРИ СОЧЕТАННЫХ АБДОМИНАЛЬНЫХ ТРАВМАХ //Журнал гепатогастроэнтерологических исследований. – 2020. – Т. 1. – №. 2. – С. 52-55.
48. Рузибоев С. А., Авазов А. А., Хурсанов Е. Э. СРАВНИТЕЛЬНЫЕ РЕЗУЛЬТАТЫ ЛЕЧЕНИЯ ПОСЛЕОПЕРАЦИОННЫХ И РЕЦИДИВНЫХ ГРЫЖ ПЕРЕДНЕЙ БРЮШНОЙ СТЕНКИ //Research Focus. – 2022. – Т. 1. – №. 2. – С. 184-191.
49. Рузибоев С. и др. ВОЗМОЖНОСТИ ПРИМЕНЕНИЯ МИНИИНВАЗИВНЫХ ТЕХНОЛОГИЙ В ЛЕЧЕНИИ ТЯЖЕЛОГО ОСТРОГО ПАНКРЕАТИТА //Журнал гепатогастроэнтерологических исследований. – 2020. – Т. 1. – №. 2. – С. 61-64.

50. Саттаров Ш. Х., Рузибаев С. А., Хурсанов Ё. Э. ОПТИМИЗАЦИЯ ПУТИ КОРРЕКЦИИ ЭНДОТОКСИКОЗА ПРИ ОСТРОМ ПЕРИТОНИТЕ (ОБЗОР ЛИТЕРАТУРЫ) //Research Focus. – 2022. – Т. 1. – №. 2. – С. 144-150.
51. Саттаров Ш. Х., Рузибаев С. А., Хурсанов Ё. Э. РЕЗУЛЬТАТЫ ЛЕЧЕНИЯ ОСТРОГО РАЗЛИТОГО ГНОЙНОГО ПЕРИТОНИТА С ПРИМЕНЕНИЕМ ЛАПАРОСТОМИИ //Research Focus. – 2022. – Т. 1. – №. 2. – С. 238-242.
52. Усанов Ж. Р. У. и др. Возможности диагностики и лечения острого тяжелого панкреатита //Вестник науки и образования. – 2021. – №. 3-2 (106). – С. 103-106.
53. Хаджибаев А. М., Мустафакулов И. Б. Современное состояние и нерешенные проблемные вопросы диагностики и тактики лечения тяжелых повреждений живота при сочетанной травме //Вестник экстренной медицины. – 2011. – №. 4. – С. 77-81.
54. Хамроев Г. А., Хурсанов Ё. Э. ОРГАНОСОХРАНЯЮЩАЯ ОПЕРАЦИЯ ПРИ МАССИВНОМ РАЗМОЗЖЕНИИ ЯИЧКА //Research Focus. – 2022. – Т. 1. – №. 3. – С. 185-194.
55. ХУРСАНОВ Я. Э. и др. ТАКТИКА ХИРУРГИЧЕСКОГО ЛЕЧЕНИЯ БОЛЬНЫХ С ГЛУБОКИМИ ОЖОГАМИ //ЖУРНАЛ БИМЕДИЦИНЫ И ПРАКТИКИ. – 2022. – Т. 7. – №. 5.
56. Шакиров Б., Авазов А., Хурсанов Ё. COMPREHENSIVE TREATMENT OF PATIENTS WITH EXTENSIVE DEEP BURNS LOWER LIMBS //EurasianUnionScientists. – 2022. – С. 24-26.
57. Шакиров Б., Авазов А., Хурсанов Ё. COMPREHENSIVE TREATMENT OF PATIENTS WITH EXTENSIVE DEEP BURNS LOWER LIMBS //EurasianUnionScientists. – 2022. – С. 24-26.
58. Элмурадов Г. К., Шукуров Б. И. ВИДЕОЭНДОХИРУРГИЯ В ДИАГНОСТИКЕ И ЛЕЧЕНИИ РАЗРЫВОВ ДИАФРАГМЫ //THEORY AND ANALYTICAL ASPECTS OF RECENT RESEARCH. – 2022. – Т. 1. – №. 7. – С. 40-58.
59. Эльмурадов А., Хурсанов Ё. Э. У. ПОСТКОЛОНИАЛЬНАЯ/ДЕКОЛОНИАЛЬНАЯ КРИТИКА И ТЕОРИЯ МЕЖДУНАРОДНЫХ ОТНОШЕНИЙ //Research Focus. – 2022. – Т. 1. – №. 2. – С. 198-208.
60. Elmuradov G. O. K. et al. QORIN BO ‘SHLIG ‘I YOPIQ JARONATLARIDA SONOGRAFIYA VA VIDEOELAPAROSKOPIYANI QO’LLASH //Research Focus. – 2023. – Т. 2. – №. 1. – С. 173-180.
61. Ачилов М. Т. и др. ОЦЕНКА ЛЕЧЕБНОГО ПОЛИХИМИОТЕРАПИИ ПРИ РАКЕ МОЛОЧНОЙ ЖЕЛЕЗЫ //Research Focus. – 2023. – Т. 2. – №. 1. – С. 62-69.
62. Тухтаев Ж. К., Хурсанов Ё. Э. У. ДИФФУЗНЫЙ ТОКСИЧЕСКИЙ ЗОБ ЩИТОВИДНОЙ ЖЕЛЕЗЫ //Research Focus. – 2023. – Т. 2. – №. 1. – С. 20-31.
63. Шоназаров И. Ш., Мизамов Ф. О., Хурсанов Ё. Э. ДИАПЕВТИЧЕСКИЕ И РЕНТГЕНЭНДОБИЛИАРНЫЕ ВМЕШАТЕЛЬСТВА В КОРРЕКЦИИ ОСЛОЖНЕНИЙ ПОСЛЕ ХОЛЕЦИСТЭКТОМИИ //Research Focus. – 2023. – Т. 2. – №. 1. – С. 44-51.
64. Шоназаров И. Ш., Мизамов Ф. О., Хурсанов Ё. Э. ЭФФЕКТИВНОСТЬ ПРИОРИТЕТНОГО ИСПОЛЬЗОВАНИЯ МИНИИНВАЗИВНЫХ ВМЕШАТЕЛЬСТВ В ХИРУРГИЧЕСКОМ ЛЕЧЕНИИ ОСЛОЖНЕННЫХ ФОРМ ОСТРОГО ХОЛЕЦИСТИТА //Research Focus. – 2023. – Т. 2. – №. 1. – С. 36-43.