

EVALUATION OF THE EFFECTIVENESS OF MULTI-STAGE SURGICAL TACTICS IN SEVERE LIVER DAMAGE

Umedov Khushvaqt Alisherovich – Teacher of the Department of Surgical Diseases №. 2

Khaidarov Numon Bozor ugli – master of the Department of Surgical Diseases №. 2

Khursanov Yokubjon Erkin ugli – Teacher of the Department of Surgical Diseases №. 2

Shomurodov Hamza Rashidovich - master of the Department of Surgical Diseases №. 2

Samarkand Medical University. Samarkand, Uzbekistan

Samarkand branch of RNCCEMP

<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7592934>

Annatation: The authors proposed active surgical tactics as "damage control" in traumatic liver injuries. The "damage control" method has been used in the SFRSCCEMP since 2012 as the only method of saving the lives of patients with traumatic liver injuries. During 2012–2022, 170 patients with liver injury were operated on in the emergency surgery departments of the SFRNCEMMP.

Of these, 19 (14.61%) patients with severe liver injuries of IV and V degrees of damage according to E.Moore. The effectiveness of multi-stage tactics was assessed by the level of mortality and the number of purulent-septic complications.

The results of surgical treatment of 19 patients with massive liver damage, aged 17 to 50 years, were studied. The average age is 26 years. Of these, 11 men, 8 women. The average assessment of the severity of injuries on a scale ISS was 34 points (17-76), according to E.Moore IV and V degrees of injury. The mean blood loss was 2850 ml (1750-3850 ml). All patients underwent a multi-stage laparotomy with gauze (film) tamponade, the average number of operations per 1 person was 2.7 (2-5), suturing of the liver wound with tamponade (13), extensive hepatotomy and ligation of blood vessels (3), atypical resection (2), stitching of large main vessels with taponade (1). The average bed/day in the intensive care unit is 13 (3-16) and the average bed/day in the clinic is 25 (3-28). Mortality was 26.3% (5 out of 19), mainly purulent-septic complications and multiple organ failure.

The use of the "damage control" technique helped to reduce the incidence of mortality from acute pathology of the abdominal organs by 26.3%

Keywords: closed abdominal injury, liver injury, damage control.

ОЦЕНКА ЭФФЕКТИВНОСТИ МНОГОЭТАПНОЙ ХИРУРГИЧЕСКОЙ ТАКТИКИ ПРИ ТЯЖЕЛОМ ПОРАЖЕНИИ ПЕЧЕНИ

Аннотация: Авторы предложили активную хирургическую тактику как «контроль повреждений» при травматических повреждениях печени. Метод «damage control» используется в SFRSCCEMP с 2012 года как единственный метод спасения жизни пациентов с травматическими повреждениями печени. В период с 2012 по 2022 г. в отделениях неотложной хирургии ГФНЦЭМП прооперировано 170 пациентов с поражением печени. Из них 19 (14,61%) больных с тяжелыми повреждениями печени IV и V степени поражения по E.Moore. Эффективность многоэтапной тактики оценивали по уровню летальности и количеству гнойно-септических осложнений.

Изучены результаты хирургического лечения 19 больных с массивным поражением печени в возрасте от 17 до 50 лет. Средний возраст 26 лет. Из них 11 мужчин, 8 женщин. Средняя оценка тяжести повреждений по шкале ISS составила 34 балла (17-76), по E.Moore IV и V

степени травмы. Средняя кровопотеря составила 2850 мл (1750-3850 мл). Всем больным выполнена многоэтапная лапаротомия с марлевой (пленочной) тампонадой, среднее количество операций на 1 человека составило 2,7 (2-5), ушивание раны печени с тампонадой (13), обширная гепатотомия и лигирование сосудов (3), атипичная резекция (2), прошивание крупных магистральных сосудов тампонадой (1). Средний койко-день в отделении интенсивной терапии составляет 13 (3-16), а средний койко-день в клинике 25 (3-28). Летальность составила 26,3% (5 из 19), преимущественно гнойно-септические осложнения и полиорганная недостаточность.

Применение методики «damage control» позволило снизить частоту летальности от острой патологии органов брюшной полости на 26,3%.

Ключевые слова: закрытая травма живота, повреждение печени, контроль повреждений.

INTRODUCTION

Relevance. Traumatic injuries of the liver according to the severity of the course, the complexity of diagnosis and treatment, the high mortality rate, are considered the most dangerous among injuries of the abdominal organs. The frequency of liver damage in closed abdominal trauma ranges from 20 to 46.9% [2,3,11,27], with penetrating injuries - from 57% to 86.4% of cases [12,17,26,27].

According to the literature, postoperative mortality in blunt abdominal trauma with liver damage is 30.4%, in stab-cut wounds of the organ - from 4 to 10.5%, with combined trauma, 39.3% of victims die [1,5,14,15,21,22].

With a modern combined injury, the victims, in whom the severity of injuries on a scale ISS corresponds to IV and V degrees (according to E. Moore, 1986), characterized by high mortality, reaching 40-80%. High mortality rates are associated with a combination of injuries, shock, blood loss, aggravated in the early postoperative period by the development of "abdominal compartment syndrome", and subsequently - purulent-septic complications [4,6,7,8,13,16,17].

The traditional surgical tactics for isolated and combined injuries include laparotomy with correction of existing injuries to the abdominal organs and chest cavities. However, with massive damage to internal organs, often combined with damage to large vessels, the complete correction of all damage takes a long time, which affects the outcome of treatment [9,10,11,12,18,19,20].

Initially, the "damage control" strategy (M. Rotondo 1993) was used for the surgical treatment of the wounded with polytrauma. This technique consisted of three stages [23,24,25]:

The first is urgent, immediate surgery to stop active bleeding and prevent infection of the abdominal cavity.

The second is the implementation of cumulative intensive anti-shock therapy in the presence of an intensive care unit for the fastest rehabilitation of the body.

The third - within 48-72 hours after the injury, the final decision on surgical treatment.

Purpose of the study. evaluate the effectiveness of the method "damage control" for severe liver damage.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

During 2012–2022, 170 patients with liver injury were operated on in the emergency surgery departments of the SFRNCEMMP.

Of these, 19 (14.61%) patients with severe liver injuries IV and V degrees of damage according to E. Moore. The effectiveness of multi-stage tactics was assessed by the level of mortality and the number of purulent-septic complications.

The results of surgical treatment of 28 patients with massive liver damage, aged 17 to 50 years, were studied. The average age is 26 years. Of these, 11 men, 8 women. The average assessment of the severity of injuries on a scale ISS was 34 points (17-76), according to E. Moore IV and V degrees of injury. The mean blood loss was 2850 ml (1750-3850 ml). All patients underwent a multi-stage laparotomy with gauze (film) tamponade, the average number of operations per 1 person was 2.7 (2-5), suturing of the liver wound with tamponade (13), extensive hepatotomy and ligation of blood vessels (3), atypical resection (2), stitching of large main vessels with taponade (1). The average bed/day in the intensive care unit is 13 (3-16) and the average bed/day in the clinic is 25 (3-28). Mortality was 26.3% (5 out of 19), mainly purulent-septic complications and multiple organ failure.

In addition, a correlation study was carried out: 41 patients with acute abdominal pathology treated according to the system "damage control" (interrupted operation), 30 patients (control group, similar in terms of the main nosology, time of hospitalization, concomitant nosology, age) were carried out using the "early total care" method (simultaneous performance of the full volume of surgical intervention, regardless of the type of nosology and primary patient's condition).

To understand the severity of the patient and the legitimacy of managing patients in the "damage control" a scale was designed (each indicator was estimated from 1 to 4 points) for the patient's nosology:

< 9 points - there are no indications for the application of the technique "damage control",
10-16 points - unambiguous indications for "damage control".

Empiricism in decision making is acceptable for adjacent values of the algorithm 8-9 points.

In the scale of severity, these indicators were taken into account: the duration of the disease, the source of peritonitis, the type of inflammatory and destructive processes in the abdominal cavity, withIn mesenteric-vascular thrombosis, the degree of intestinal necrosis was taken into account, in acute intestinal obstruction - the origin of obstruction, in circumstances of infected pancreonecrosis - generalization and location of the process, the presence of shock in polytrauma with damage to the abdominal organs, etc.

Undoubtedly, an important and decisive moment of this tactic is the implementation of not a single surgical intervention, but 2 or more according to indications.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The mortality rate in the main group of patients with the damage control method was 19.5% (8 out of 41 patients died). This coefficient in the comparative group with the "early total care" method was 53.3% (16 out of 30 patients died).

The study of the first steps of this tactic and the final data obtained were a predetermining factor for creating our own scale in order to take into account operational risk, as well as further prognosis of the disease based on the physiological state of the patient. Was taken into account: the age of the patient, the value of blood pressure (mm Hg.st), heart rate (bpm), Hb (hemoglobin) g / l, Potassium (mmol / l), Sodium (mmol / l), urea (mmol / l), leukocytes, ECG, cardiovascular and respiratory systems, temperature and acidity. All indicators were evaluated in points (from 1 to 4 depending on the degree of their deviation):

1-10 - compensated state (prognosis for life is favorable)

11-29 -subcompensated state (boundary state)

30-44 - decompensated state (prognosis for life is unfavorable)

The proposed algorithm, which is based on numbering criteria, excludes empiricism when choosing a treatment method for severe liver injuries in unstable patients at risk of developing coagulopathy and multiple organ failure, makes it possible to determine the indications for damage control with high accuracy, use this technique at the right time, recognize and prevent acceptable complications in advance, and predict the outcome of the disease. The strategy that has been proposed above combines the strengths of programmed relaparotomy and the standard damage control technique for injuries. Staged surgical treatment provides a chance to fully use the modernized resuscitation post-syndromic treatment, as well as prosthetics of organ function in order to combat the systemic inflammatory response, which is not amenable to drug and physiotherapeutic stimulation by intestinal paresis. The application of this strategy reduced mortality from 53.3% to 19.5%.

CONCLUSIONS

1. Multi-stage surgical tactics "damage control" in isolated and combined severe liver damage is an effective method in unstable patients with the risk of developing coagulopathy and multiple organ failure.

2. The use of the "damage control" technique helped to reduce the mortality rate from acute pathology of the abdominal organs from 53.3% to 19.5%.

Literature

1. Mustafakulov I. B. et al. Evaluation of the effectiveness of multi-stage surgical tactics for liver damage // World journal of advance healthcare research. 2020, 4(3), 264-266.

2. Mustafakulov I. B. et al. Severe associated trauma to the abdomen diagnosis and treatment // European journal of pharmaceutical and medical research. 2020, 7(6), 113-116

3. Mustafakulov I.B. et al. Aetiology and outcome of combined closed trauma of the abdomen according to the data of Samarkand hospital // Journal of Surgery, 2013; 1 (5): 73-76.

4. Mustafakulov I.B. et al. Intra-abdominal Hypertension at Combined Injuries of the Abdominal Organs//American Journal of Medicine and Medical Sciences 2019, 9(12): 499-502.

5. Mustafakulov I.B. et al. Same Different Surgical Treatment of Bladder Injuries in Abdominal Polytrauma // Saudi Journal of Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences. Vol-2, Iss-3 (Mar, 2016): 59-61.

6. Abdurakhmanovich A. A., Akhtamkhon E., Alisherovich U. K. Pathogenesis, Clinic and Principles of Treatment of Burn Shock //Eurasian Research Bulletin. – 2022. – T. 9. – C. 20-25.

7. Abdurakhmanovich A. A., Furkatovich A. R. Methods of early surgical treatment of Burns //Web of Scientist: International Scientific Research Journal. – 2022. – T. 3. – №. 6. – C. 528-532.

8. Abdurakhmanovich A. A., Furkatovich A. R. Methods of early surgical treatment of Burns //Web of Scientist: International Scientific Research Journal. – 2022. – T. 3. – №. 6. – C. 528-532.

9. Abduraxmanovich A. A. et al. POSSIBILITIES OF APPLYING MINIMALLY INVASIVE TECHNOLOGIES IN TREATMENT OF SEVERE ACUTE PANCREATITIS //CENTRAL ASIAN JOURNAL OF MEDICAL AND NATURAL SCIENCES. – 2021. – T. 2. – №. 3. – C. 246-249.

10. Avazov A. A. STRATEGIC ISSUES IN THE CONSTRUCTION OF NUCLEAR POWER PLANTS //Conference Zone. – 2022. – C. 202-203.

11. Avazov A. CLASSIFICATION OF FACTORS INFLUENCING THE EFFECTIVENESS OF PERSONNEL ACTIVITIES IN INDUSTRIAL ENTERPRISES //International Finance and Accounting. – 2019. – T. 2019. – №. 5. – C. 17.

12. Erkinovich K. Y. METHODS OF EARLY SURGICAL TREATMENT OF BURNS //Central Asian Research Journal for Interdisciplinary Studies (CARJIS). – 2022. – Т. 2. – №. Special Issue 4. – С. 184-188.
13. Erkinovich K. Y. METHODS OF EARLY SURGICAL TREATMENT OF BURNS //Central Asian Research Journal for Interdisciplinary Studies (CARJIS). – 2022. – Т. 2. – №. Special Issue 4. – С. 184-188.
14. Gulamov O. M. et al. Modern methods of diagnosis and treatment of barrett esophagus //Doctor's herald. – 2020. – Т. 2. – С. 94.
15. Mukhammadiev M. et al. INDICATORS OF ORGAN DEFICIENCY DEVELOPMENT IN ACUTE PANCREATITIS //InterConf. – 2021. – С. 738-753.
16. Ruziboev S. A. et al. Results Of Treatment Of Acute Diffuse Purulent Peritonitis Using Laparostomy //The American Journal of Medical Sciences and Pharmaceutical Research. – 2020. – Т. 2. – №. 11. – С. 66-71.
17. Ruziboev S. et al. TREATMENT OF ACUTE ADHESIVE INTESTINAL OBSTRUCTION WITH MINI-INVASIVE METHODS //InterConf. – 2021. – С. 768-773.
18. Shakirov B. M., Avazov A. A., Umedov X. A. Peculiarities of hand burn treatment in the conditions of moist medium //ISJ Theoretical & Applied Science, 04 (108). – 2022. – С. 289-291.
19. Shakirov B. M., Avazov A. A., Umedov X. A. Peculiarities of hand burn treatment in the conditions of moist medium //ISJ Theoretical & Applied Science, 04 (108). – 2022. – С. 289-291.
20. АБАЗОВ А. А. и др. KUYISHLARDA ERTA XIRURGIK DAVOLASH USULLARI //ЖУРНАЛ БИМЕДИЦИНЫ И ПРАКТИКИ. – 2022. – Т. 7. – №. 4.
21. АБАЗОВ А. А. и др. KUYISHLARDA ERTA XIRURGIK DAVOLASH USULLARI //ЖУРНАЛ БИМЕДИЦИНЫ И ПРАКТИКИ. – 2022. – Т. 7. – №. 4.
22. АБАЗОВ А. А. и др. QO'SHMA SHIKASTLANISHLARDA QORIN BO'SHLIG'I A'ZOLARINING OG'IR DARAJADAGI SHIKASTLANISHLARINI DIAGNOSTIKA VA DAVOLASHDA HAL ETILMAGAN MUAMMOLLARI //ЖУРНАЛ БИМЕДИЦИНЫ И ПРАКТИКИ. – 2022. – Т. 7. – №. 3.
23. АБАЗОВ А. А. и др. QO'SHMA SHIKASTLANISHLARDA QORIN BO'SHLIG'I A'ZOLARINING OG'IR DARAJADAGI SHIKASTLANISHLARINI DIAGNOSTIKA VA DAVOLASHDA HAL ETILMAGAN MUAMMOLLARI //ЖУРНАЛ БИМЕДИЦИНЫ И ПРАКТИКИ. – 2022. – Т. 7. – №. 3.
24. Авазов А. А. и др. АЛЛОПЛАСТИЧЕСКАЯ ГЕРНИОПЛАСТИКА ПРИ УЩЕМЛЕННЫХ ВЕНТРАЛЬНЫХ ГРЫЖАХ //Молодежь и медицинская наука в XXI веке. – 2014. – С. 536-537.
25. Авазов А. А. и др. ПАТОГЕНЕЗ, КЛИНИКА, ПРИНЦИПЫ ЛЕЧЕНИЯ ОЖОГОВОГО ШОКА //Вестник науки и образования. – 2021. – №. 3-2 (106). – С. 99-102.
26. Авазов А. А., Джумагелдиев Ш. Ш., Исламов Н. К. ДИАГНОСТИКА РАЗЛИЧНЫХ ФОРМ ОСТРОГО ПАНКРЕАТИТА //Молодежь и медицинская наука в XXI веке. – 2014. – С. 535-536.
27. Авазов А. А., Хурсанов Ё. Э. У., Мухаммадиев М. Х. ВОЗМОЖНОСТЬ ПРИМЕНЕНИЯ ИНТЕГРАЛЬНОЙ ШКАЛЫ BISAP ДЛЯ ПРОГНОЗИРОВАНИЯ РАЗВИТИЯ ТЯЖЕЛОГО ОСТРОГО ПАНКРЕАТИТА //Research Focus. – 2022. – Т. 1. – №. 2. – С. 158-164.
28. Авазов А. А., Хурсанов Ё. Э. У., Шакиров Б. М. ҚЎЛНИНГ ЧУҚУР КУЙИШИНИ ДАВОЛАШ ТАКТИКАСИ //Research Focus. – 2022. – №. Special issue 1. – С. 35-42.
29. Авазов А. А., Хурсанов Ё. Э. У., Шакиров Б. М. ҚЎЛНИНГ ЧУҚУР КУЙИШИНИ ДАВОЛАШ ТАКТИКАСИ //Research Focus. – 2022. – №. Special issue 1. – С. 35-42.

30. Авазов А. А., Хурсанов Ё. Э. У., Шакиров Б. М. ҚЎЛНИНГ ЧУҚУР КУЙИШИНИ ДАВОЛАШ ТАКТИКАСИ //Research Focus. – 2022. – №. Special issue 1. – С. 35-42.
31. Азизов М.Ж. Состояние и пути профилактики дорожно-транспортного травматизма в Республике Узбекистан // Вестник экстренной медицины. - 2010.- №2. - с. 7.
32. Гавришук Я.В., Кажанов И.В., Тулупов А.Н. и др. Минимально инвазивное лечение пострадавшей с повреждением селезенки // Вестник хирургии им. И.И.Грекова, 2019.-N 4.-С.58-60.
33. Даминов Ф. А. и др. Особенности лечебного питания для ранней профилактики желудочнокишечных осложнений у обожженных //Журнал Неотложная хирургия им. ИИ Джанелидзе. – 2021. – №. S1. – С. 21-21.
34. Даминов Ф. А. и др. Синдром кишечной недостаточности и его коррекция у тяжелообожженных //Журнал Неотложная хирургия им. ИИ Джанелидзе. – 2021. – №. S1. – С. 20-21.
35. Даминов Ф. А., Карабаев Х. К., Хурсанов Ё. Э. ПРИНЦИПЫ МЕСТНОГО ЛЕЧЕНИЯ ОЖГОВЫХ РАН У ТЯЖЕЛООБОЖЖЕННЫХ (Обзор литературы) //Research Focus. – 2022. – Т. 1. – №. 3. – С. 133-142.
36. Даминов Ф. А., Хурсанов Ё. Э., Карабаев Х. К. НАШ ОПЫТ ПРОФИЛАКТИКИ И ЛЕЧЕНИЯ ПОЛИОРГАННОЙ НЕДОСТАТОЧНОСТИ У ТЯЖЕЛООБОЖЖЕННЫХ //Research Focus. – 2022. – Т. 1. – №. 3. – С. 143-151.
37. Джаббаров Ш. Р., Хурсанов Ё. Э. У. STANDARDIZATION OF THE THERAPEUTIC DIAGNOSTIC APPROACH FOR COMBINED CLOSED INTESTINAL INJURY //Research Focus. – 2022. – Т. 1. – №. 3. – С. 120-132.
38. Джаббаров Ш. Р., Хурсанов Ё. Э. У. ПОСЛЕОПЕРАЦИОННОЙ ЛЕТАЛЬНОСТИ ПРИ ОСТРОМ ХОЛЕЦИСТИТЕ //Research Focus. – 2022. – Т. 1. – №. 3. – С. 152-161.
39. Карабаев Х. К. и др. Изучение частоты и вида сердечнососудистой патологии у обожженных //Журнал Неотложная хирургия им. ИИ Джанелидзе. – 2021. – №. S1. – С. 28-29.
40. Курбонов Н. А., Ахмедов Р. Ф. MODERN APPROACHES TO THE TREATMENT OF DEEP BURNING PATIENTS //УЗБЕКСКИЙ МЕДИЦИНСКИЙ ЖУРНАЛ. – 2022. – Т. 3. – №. 2.
41. Мустафакулов И. Б. и др. INTESTINAL INJURIES IN COMBINED ABDOMINAL TRAUMA //УЗБЕКСКИЙ МЕДИЦИНСКИЙ ЖУРНАЛ. – 2021. – №. SPECIAL 1.
42. Мустафакулов И. Б. и др. OPTIMIZATION OF INTENSIVE THERAPY FOR BURN SHOCK //УЗБЕКСКИЙ МЕДИЦИНСКИЙ ЖУРНАЛ. – 2021. – №. SPECIAL 1.
43. МУСТАФАКУЛОВ И. Б. и др. QO'SHMA ABDOMINAL SHIKASTLANISHLARIDA" DEMAGE CONTROL" QO'YISH TAKTIKASI //ЖУРНАЛ БИОМЕДИЦИНЫ И ПРАКТИКИ. – 2022. – Т. 7. – №. 3.
44. Мустафакулов И. Б. и др. SURGICAL TACTICS IN CASE OF ISOLATED INJURIES OF SMALL AND LARGE INTESTINE //УЗБЕКСКИЙ МЕДИЦИНСКИЙ ЖУРНАЛ. – 2022. – Т. 3. – №. 2.
45. Мустафакулов И., Умедов Х. СОВРЕМЕННЫЕ ТАКТИЧЕСКИЕ ПОДХОДЫ В ЛЕЧЕНИИ ТРАВМАТИЧЕСКИХ ПОВРЕЖДЕНИЙ ПЕЧЕНИ //Журнал гепатогастроэнтерологических исследований. – 2020. – Т. 1. – №. 2. – С. 48-51.
46. Мустафакулов И.Б., Тиляков А.Б., Карабаев Х.К., Мизамов Ф.О. Закрытая сочетанная травма живота. Мет. рекомендации. Самарканд – 2019
47. Мустафакулов И., Умедов Х. СИНДРОМ ВНУТРИБРЮШНОЙ ГИПЕРТЕНЗИИ ПРИ СОЧЕТАННЫХ АБДОМИНАЛЬНЫХ ТРАВМАХ //Журнал гепатогастроэнтерологических исследований. – 2020. – Т. 1. – №. 2. – С. 52-55.

48. Рузибоев С. А., Авазов А. А., Хурсанов Е. Э. СРАВНИТЕЛЬНЫЕ РЕЗУЛЬТАТЫ ЛЕЧЕНИЯ ПОСЛЕОПЕРАЦИОННЫХ И РЕЦИДИВНЫХ ГРЫЖ ПЕРЕДНЕЙ БРЮШНОЙ СТЕНКИ //Research Focus. – 2022. – Т. 1. – №. 2. – С. 184-191.
49. Рузибоев С. и др. ВОЗМОЖНОСТИ ПРИМЕНЕНИЯ МИНИИНВАЗИВНЫХ ТЕХНОЛОГИЙ В ЛЕЧЕНИИ ТЯЖЕЛОГО ОСТРОГО ПАНКРЕАТИТА //Журнал гепатогастроэнтерологических исследований. – 2020. – Т. 1. – №. 2. – С. 61-64.
50. Саттаров Ш. Х., Рузобаев С. А., Хурсанов Ё. Э. ОПТИМИЗАЦИЯ ПУТИ КОРРЕКЦИИ ЭНДОТОКСИКОЗА ПРИ ОСТРОМ ПЕРИТОНИТЕ (ОБЗОР ЛИТЕРАТУРЫ) //Research Focus. – 2022. – Т. 1. – №. 2. – С. 144-150.
51. Саттаров Ш. Х., Рузобаев С. А., Хурсанов Ё. Э. РЕЗУЛЬТАТЫ ЛЕЧЕНИЯ ОСТРОГО РАЗЛИТОГО ГНОЙНОГО ПЕРИТОНИТА С ПРИМЕНЕНИЕМ ЛАПАРОСТОМИИ //Research Focus. – 2022. – Т. 1. – №. 2. – С. 238-242.
52. Усанов Ж. Р. У. и др. Возможности диагностики и лечения острого тяжелого панкреатита //Вестник науки и образования. – 2021. – №. 3-2 (106). – С. 103-106.
53. Хаджибаев А. М., Мустафакулов И. Б. Современное состояние и нерешенные проблемные вопросы диагностики и тактики лечения тяжелых повреждений живота при сочетанной травме //Вестник экстренной медицины. – 2011. – №. 4. – С. 77-81.
54. Хамроев Г. А., Хурсанов Ё. Э. ОРГАНОСОХРАНЯЮЩАЯ ОПЕРАЦИЯ ПРИ МАССИВНОМ РАЗМОЗЖЕНИИ ЯИЧКА //Research Focus. – 2022. – Т. 1. – №. 3. – С. 185-194.
55. ХУРСАНОВ Я. Э. и др. ТАКТИКА ХИРУРГИЧЕСКОГО ЛЕЧЕНИЯ БОЛЬНЫХ С ГЛУБОКИМИ ОЖОГАМИ //ЖУРНАЛ БИОМЕДИЦИНЫ И ПРАКТИКИ. – 2022. – Т. 7. – №. 5.
56. Шакиров Б., Авазов А., Хурсанов Ё. COMPREHENSIVE TREATMENT OF PATIENTS WITH EXTENSIVE DEEP BURNS LOWER LIMBS //EurasianUnionScientists. – 2022. – С. 24-26.
57. Шакиров Б., Авазов А., Хурсанов Ё. COMPREHENSIVE TREATMENT OF PATIENTS WITH EXTENSIVE DEEP BURNS LOWER LIMBS //EurasianUnionScientists. – 2022. – С. 24-26.
58. Элмуратов Г. К., Шукуров Б. И. ВИДЕОЭНДОХИРУРГИЯ В ДИАГНОСТИКЕ И ЛЕЧЕНИИ РАЗРЫВОВ ДИАФРАГМЫ //THEORY AND ANALYTICAL ASPECTS OF RECENT RESEARCH. – 2022. – Т. 1. – №. 7. – С. 40-58.
59. Эльмуратов А., Хурсанов Ё. Э. У. ПОСТКОЛОНИАЛЬНАЯ/ДЕКОЛОНИАЛЬНАЯ КРИТИКА И ТЕОРИЯ МЕЖДУНАРОДНЫХ ОТНОШЕНИЙ //Research Focus. – 2022. – Т. 1. – №. 2. – С. 198-208.
60. Elmuradov G. O. K. et al. QORIN BO ‘SHLIG ‘I YOPIQ JARONATLARIDA SONOGRAFIYA VA VIDEOELAPAROSKOPIYANI QO’LLASH //Research Focus. – 2023. – Т. 2. – №. 1. – С. 173-180.
61. Ачилов М. Т. и др. ОЦЕНКА ЛЕЧЕБНОГО ПОЛИХИМИОТЕРАПИИ ПРИ РАКЕ МОЛОЧНОЙ ЖЕЛЕЗЫ //Research Focus. – 2023. – Т. 2. – №. 1. – С. 62-69.
62. Тухтаев Ж. К., Хурсанов Ё. Э. У. ДИФФУЗНЫЙ ТОКСИЧЕСКИЙ ЗОБ ЩИТОВИДНОЙ ЖЕЛЕЗЫ //Research Focus. – 2023. – Т. 2. – №. 1. – С. 20-31.
63. Шоназаров И. Ш., Мизамов Ф. О., Хурсанов Ё. Э. ДИАПЕВТИЧЕСКИЕ И РЕНТГЕНЭНДОБИЛИАРНЫЕ ВМЕШАТЕЛЬСТВА В КОРРЕКЦИИ ОСЛОЖНЕНИЙ ПОСЛЕ ХОЛЕЦИСТЭКТОМИИ //Research Focus. – 2023. – Т. 2. – №. 1. – С. 44-51.
64. Шоназаров И. Ш., Мизамов Ф. О., Хурсанов Ё. Э. ЭФФЕКТИВНОСТЬ ПРИОРИТЕТНОГО ИСПОЛЬЗОВАНИЯ МИНИИНВАЗИВНЫХ ВМЕШАТЕЛЬСТВ В ХИРУРГИЧЕСКОМ ЛЕЧЕНИИ ОСЛОЖНЕННЫХ ФОРМ ОСТРОГО ХОЛЕЦИСТИТА //Research Focus. – 2023. – Т. 2. – №. 1. – С. 36-43.