

УДК: 616-001-617.55-07-089

CHOICE OF TREATMENT METHODS FOR SEVERE CONCOMITANT ABDOMINAL TRAUMA

Mustafakulov Ishnazar Boynazarovich¹

Head of the Department of Surgical Diseases No. 2, Candidate of Medical Sciences;

Mamaradjabov Sobirjon Ergashevich²

Head of the Department of Surgical Surgery and Topographic Anatomy, Dean of the Faculty of
International Education, d.m.n

Norov Mirvokhid Chariyevich³

Surgeon of the Republican Scientific and Practical Center for Medical Emergencies,
Kashkadarin Branch;

Juraeva Zilola Aramovna⁴

Lecturer of the Department of Endocrinology;

Boynazarov Mekhrojmirzo Eshnazarovich⁵

student of Thermiz branch of the TTA;

Samarkand State Medical University, Republic of Uzbekistan, Samarkand

<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7419260>

Abstract: Prospective analysis of the studied factors of unfavorable outcome in combined abdominal traumas in 70 victims has been carried out. Estimation of severity of condition during the first 24 hours according to APACHE II and SAPS II scales was made and working characterizing curves (WCC) and evaluation of areas under the curves (AUROC) were built. To reveal intensity and character of connection of APACHE II and SAPS II methods and also to reveal the character of interdependence, correlative and regressive analyses were performed. Strong correlative connection between APACHE II and SAPS II was revealed (rate=0,851). To develop surgical tactics on admission, wide spread clinic laboratory parameters were tested: hemoglobin, hemotacrit, rate of heartbeats (RHB), AP and also Algover Index (AI). Prognosis of the outcome and development of complications in severe combined trauma is possible on the basis of evaluation of the severity of victims' condition according to APACHE II, SAPS II scales.

Key words: closed abdominal trauma, liver injury,

ВЫБОРА МЕТОДЫ ЛЕЧЕНИЯ ТЯЖЕЛОЙ СОЧЕТАННОЙ ТРАВМЫ ЖИВОТА

Аннотация: Проведен проспективный анализ исследований факторов неблагоприятного исхода при сочетанных повреждениях живота у 70 пострадавших. Провели оценку тяжести состояния в первые сутки по шкале APACHE II и SAPS II и построили рабочие характеристические кривые (ROC) и оценки площади под кривыми (AUROC). Для выявления силы и характера связи метод шкалами APACHE II и SAPS II, а также выяснения характера взаимозависимости провели корреляционный и регрессионный анализы. Выявлена прямая сильная корреляционная связь между шкалами APACHE II и SAPS II ($r=0,851$). Для разработки хирургической тактики при поступлении тестировали широко распространенные клинично-лабораторные параметры: гемоглобин, гематокрит, лейкоциты, ЧСС, АД, а также индекс Алговера (ИА). Прогнозирование исхода и развития осложнений при тяжелой сочетанной травме возможно на основе оценки тяжести состояния пострадавших по шкалам APACHE II, SAPS II.

Ключевые слова: закрытая травма живота, повреждение печени

ҚОРИН БЎШЛИҒИНИНГ ОҒИР ҚЎШМА ШИКАСТЛАНИШЛАРИНИ ДАВОЛАШ УСУЛЛАРИНИ ТАҢЛАШ

Аннотация; Қорининг қўшма шикастланишлари билан 70 нафар беморларда нохуш - салбий оқибат омиллари тадқиқотларининг проспектив таҳлили ўтказилди. Биринчи кеча-кундузда беморлар аҳволининг оғирлигини баҳолаш APACHE II ва SAPS II шкалалари бўйича ўтказилди ва узига хос хусусиятли ишчи эгри графиклари (ROC) ва эгри график эгаллаган майдон баҳоси (AUROC) ишлаб чиқилди. Алоқалар кучини ва хусусиятини аниқлаш учун APACHE II ва SAPS II шкала усулларида фойдаланилди, бир-бирига боғлиқлик хусусиятини аниқлаш учун корреляцион ва регрессион таҳлиллари ўтказилди. APACHE II ва SAPS II шкалалар ўртасида тугридан – тугри кучли корреляцион алоқалар борлиги аниқланди ($r=0,851$). Жарроҳлик тактикасини ишлаб чиқиш учун, шикастланганларни қабул қилиш вақтида, кенг тарқалган клиник - лаборатор кўрсаткичлар: гемоглобин, гематокрит, лейкоцитлар, ЮКС, АБ, Алговер индекслари (АИ) орқали синов ўтказилди. Оғир қўшма жароҳатларда шикастланганларнинг аҳволи оғирлиги оқибатини ва асоратлар ривожланишини APACHE II ва SAPS II шкалаларини баҳолаш асосида олдиндан айтиш имконияти бор деб ҳисоблаймиз.

Калит сўзлар: қўшма шикастланиши., қорин бўшлиғи шикастланишлари.

Relevance. Abdominal injuries in concomitant trauma are one of the most difficult problems in emergency surgery [1, 4, 6, 10, 14, 16, 21]. According to world statistics, combined abdominal trauma as a cause of death among patients under 50 years of age ranks first [6,10,26,29] . With multiple and combined injuries, from 60 to 70% of the victims are admitted to medical institutions with symptoms of traumatic shock, and therefore, a third of those admitted die for the first day of treatment [1,5,8,11] .

The complexity of the problem of diagnosis and treatment of severe concomitant injuries can not be solved by the imperativeness of the surgeon and his virtuoso technique [2,4,7,9,12] . Therefore, with the modern method of objective assessment of the severity of the condition is the use of integrated systems [14,18,27,32,34] .

In most of the existing integral systems for assessing the severity of the condition, they do not reflect the effectiveness of treatment, therefore they cannot differentiate the prognosis in the above situation. Integral systems for daily assessment of the severity of the condition can largely overcome these problems [3,17,25,28,35].

Questions of qualitative prediction of the outcome of severe concomitant abdominal trauma remain unresolved; therapeutic tactics, the choice of the optimal volume of surgical intervention in the first hours after injury, depending on an objective assessment of the severity of the condition and prognosis, as well as the pathogenesis of post-infusion complications with increasing degrees of normovolemic hemodilution against the background of massive blood loss, still remain unexplored [19, 22,24,30,31,37] .

The purpose of the study. To develop a qualitative prognosis of the outcome on the first day (upon admission) depending on the severity of the condition of the victims with abdominal injuries in case of combined trauma to determine the treatment tactics.

Scope and methods of research. The prospective phase of the study involved a comprehensive study of risk factors for adverse outcomes in combined abdominal injuries in 70 patients. The study took into account the age and sex of the victims, the time from injury to hospitalization, hemodynamic indicators of the severity of the condition, the volume and duration of the operation, the amount of blood loss.

We studied outcomes in affected people of different age groups. The average age of survivors was 32.15 ± 7.45 years, the average age of deceased victims was 35.35 ± 17.45 years ($p=0.251$ - differences are not significant).

The overall mortality rate among 70 patients with closed combined abdominal trauma was 61.4% (out of 70 patients, 43 victims died), and in the control subgroup of the most prognostically unfavorable victims - 87%.

Identification of significant differences among the groups of surviving and deceased patients by a number of factors (gender, age, assessment on integral scales) makes it possible to predict the outcome using regression analysis. We performed such an analysis according to the data of the first day from the moment of injury and according to the dynamics of the severity of the condition in 70 victims.

At the same time, no regular dynamics of an increase in mortality with increasing age was noted, which is probably associated with a small number of victims in groups. Thus, mortality in the group of victims under 20 years old was 83.33% (5 out of 6 died), in the group of victims aged 20-29 years 46.0% (12 out of 26 died), and in the group 30-39 years old 53.3% (8 out of 15 died), in the group of victims 40-49 years old 46.6% (7 out of 15 died), and in the group over 50 years old - 87.5% (7 out of 8 died).

As is known, the severity of the condition upon admission of the victims makes a significant contribution to the frequency of lethal outcomes. We assessed the severity of the condition on the first day using the APACHE scale II in patients with abdominal injuries in concomitant trauma.

Mathematical expectation (arithmetic mean) on the APACHE scale II for surviving victims was 6.47 points, and the standard deviation was 2.54 points; for the deceased victims, the figures were not statistically different: the mathematical expectation was 8.55 points, the standard deviation was 4.31 points. The information is presented in Table.

Table

APACHEII score at admission in surviving and deceased victims


	Number observations	APACHE II Expectation	Standard deviation
Survived	46	6.47	2.54
Died	24	8.55	4.31

Simple, widely used, affordable and reliable instruments are needed for rapid assessment and selection of surgical tactics upon admission of a patient with a severe closed abdominal injury. Unfortunately, widely used in the practice of intensive care units of the APACHE scale II and SAPS II cannot be used for surgical risk stratification, not only because of their bulkiness and the need to assess a large number of clinical and laboratory parameters, but also for quite objective reasons - they do not correlate with the probability of a lethal outcome in case of a closed abdominal injury on admission ($p = 0.20$ and $p=0.756$, respectively), which does not allow using them to develop surgical tactics.

To identify the strength and nature of the relationship between the APACHE scales II and SAPS II, as well as elucidating the nature of interdependence, we conducted correlation and regression analyzes. A direct strong correlation was found between the APACHE scales II and SAPS II ($r=0.851$).

Formula describing scale dependence in severely traumatized patients: APACHE II (points) = $2.216 + 0.381 \times \text{SAPS II (points)}$.


To assess the resolution of the APACHE scales II and SAPS II and for the prediction of lethal outcome, we built operating characteristic curves (ROC - receiveroperatorcurves) and estimated areas under the curves (AUROC).



Rice. 1. Operating characteristic curve of the APACHE II scale.

Area under the operating characteristic curve for evaluating the resolution of the APACHE scale II in relation to predicting a lethal outcome was 0.717 (AUROC = 0.717, $p < 0.001$). However, for good resolution, the area under the curve for the scale should be greater than 0.9 (90%), (H - L 3.45; $p=0.840$).


SAPS scale has an unsatisfactory resolution for predicting a lethal outcome in patients with severe concomitant injury. II (AUROC - 0.763; $p<0.001$), (H - L ; $p=0.783$).



Rice. 2. Operating characteristic curve of the SAPS II scale.


As can be seen, neither the APACHE scale II nor SAPS scale II do not have a good - resolution for predicting a lethal outcome in patients with severe concomitant injury. To develop a surgical approach on admission, we tested widely used clinical and laboratory parameters: hemoglobin, hematocrit, leukocytes, heart rate, blood pressure, and the Algovver index (AI).

The concentration of hemoglobin and the number of leukocytes at admission do not correlate with the probability of death ($p=0.68$ and $p=0.343$, respectively). The level of hematocrit at admission has a weak negative correlation with the probability of death ($r = -0.234$, $p = 0.02$). The hematocrit level does not allow its use for stratification of patients with closed abdominal trauma due to poor operational performance (AUROC = 0.639, no cutoff point).




Rice. 3. Characteristic curve of hematocrit in closed abdominal trauma.

However, the assessment of hematocrit level 3 hours after admission has a high prognostic value (AUROC = 0.729), while a decrease in hematocrit less than 20.4 can predict a lethal outcome with a sensitivity of 71% and a specificity of 68%. However, the need to use this parameter in active bleeding is questionable.



Rice. Fig. 4. Characteristic curve of hematocrit 3 hours after admission of patients with closed abdominal trauma

Systolic BP at admission has a negative inverse correlation with the probability of death ($\rho = -0.372$, $p < 0.001$). Operational characteristics of the test for systolic blood pressure less than 100 mmHg . - sensitivity 70%, specificity 75%, AUROC = 0.721.




Rice. 5. Characteristic curve of systolic blood pressure at admission.

The heart rate at admission has a correlation of average strength with the probability of a lethal outcome ($\rho = 0.378$, $p < 0.001$).

The probability of a lethal outcome increases sharply with an initial heart rate of more than 1000 per minute (AUROC = 0.725, sensitivity 74%, specificity 89%).

This heart rate value can serve as a dividing point for the choice of surgical tactics « damage control ».




Rice. 6. Characteristic curve of heart rate in closed abdominal trauma

Often mentioned in the medical literature, the Algover index (AI) (heart rate / blood pressure ratio), according to our data, has a correlation of average strength with the probability of a lethal outcome ($\rho = -0.392$, $p < 0.001$). AI has a strong inverse correlation with heart rate ($\rho = -0.765$, $p < 0.001$).

Based on the assessment of heart rate, it is possible to calculate IA even without assessing the value of blood pressure, the measurement of which in a non-invasive way in shock can lead to significant errors:

$$\text{Algover index (AI)} = 0.014 \times \text{HR} - 0.467 \quad (p < 0.001)$$


The probability of a lethal outcome increases sharply with an AI value of more than 1 (AUROC = 0.733, sensitivity 76%, specificity 76%). This parameter can also serve as a dividing point for the choice of surgical tactics « damage control ».



Rice. Fig. 7. Characteristic curve of IA in closed abdominal trauma.

One of the simplest predictive tools can be the assessment of the condition of the skin of the extremities according to the visual analogue scale for evaluating the condition of the extremities (1 point - warm, 2 points - cold, 3 points - cold with marbling, 4 points - cyanosis). The scale has a correlation of average strength with the probability of a lethal outcome ($r=0.334$, $p=0.03$).

The division point of the scale is 3 points, that is, the appearance of marbling of the skin with the development of severe shock (sensitivity 75%, specificity 75%).



Rice. 8. Characteristic curve of temperature and color of extremities.

The data obtained allow us to draw the following conclusions:

- 1) Predicting the outcome and development of complications in severe concomitant trauma in victims is possible using APACHE integral scales II, SAPS II.
- 2) The probability of a lethal outcome increases sharply with an AI value of more than 1 (AUROC = 0.733, sensitivity 76%, specificity 76%). This parameter can also serve as a dividing point for the choice of surgical tactics « damage control ».

LITERATURE

1. Abdurakhmanovich A. A., Furkatovich A. R. Methods of early surgical treatment of Burns //Web of Scientist: International Scientific Research Journal. – 2022. – T. 3. – №. 6. – С. 528-532.
2. Jason B Brill. The Role of TEG and ROTEM in Damage Control Resuscitation. Shock. 2021 Dec 1;56(1S):52-61. doi: 10.1097/SHK.0000000000001686.
3. Krislyn Foster. Six-year national study of damage control laparotomy and the effect of repeat re-exploration on rate of infectious complications. Trauma Surg Acute Care Open. 2021 Jun 15;6(1):e000706. doi: 10.1136/tsaco-2021-000706. eCollection 2021.
4. Laureano Quintero et al. Damage control in the emergency department, a bridge to life. Colomb Med (Cali). 2021 May 30;52(2):e4004801. doi: 10.25100/cm.v52i2.4801.
5. Masahiro Hagiwara. Severe liver injury with traumatic cardiac arrest successfully treated by damage control surgery and transcatheter arterial embolization in the hybrid operating room: a case report. Surg Case Rep. 2021 Oct 30;7(1):234. doi: 10.1186/s40792-021-01317-1.
6. Mauricio Millán et al. Primary repair: damage control surgery in esophageal trauma. Colomb Med (Cali). 2021 Jun 30;52(2):e4094806. doi: 10.25100/cm.v52i2.4806. eCollection Apr-Jun 2021.

7. Erkinovich K. Y. METHODS OF EARLY SURGICAL TREATMENT OF BURNS //Central Asian Research Journal for Interdisciplinary Studies (CARJIS). – 2022. – Т. 2. – №. Special Issue 4. – С. 184-188.
8. Mustafakulov I. B. et Umedov X.A., ТЯЖЕЛАЯ СОЧЕТАННАЯ ТРАВМА ЖИВОТА //Журнал гепато-гастроэнтерологических исследований. – 2020. – Т. 1. – №. 1.
9. Mustafakulov I. B., Haydarov N.B., Xursandov Y.E., Umedov.A.. SURGICAL TACTICS IN CASE OF ISOLATED INJURIES OF SMALL AND LARGE INTESTINE //УЗБЕКСКИЙ МЕДИЦИНСКИЙ ЖУРНАЛ. – 2022. – Т. 3. – №. 2.
10. Mustafakulov I.B. et Umedov X.A., INTESTINAL INJURIES IN COMBINED ABDOMINAL TRAUMA //УЗБЕКСКИЙ МЕДИЦИНСКИЙ ЖУРНАЛ. – 2021. – №. SPECIAL 1.
11. Mustafakulov I.B., Shakirov B.M., Umedov H.A., Normamatov B.P., The role of intra-abdominal pressure in injuries of the abdominal organs with associated injuries., International Journal of Surgery and Transplantation Research Volume 2 Issue 1, March 2022 www.biocoreopen.org/ijst/archive.php
12. Mustafakulov I.B., Хайдаров Н., Хурсанов Ё., Umedov H.A., ХИРУРГИЧЕСКАЯ ТАКТИКА ПРИ ИЗОЛИРОВАННЫХ ПОВРЕЖДЕНИЯХ ТОНКОЙ И ТОЛСТОЙ КИШКИ// UZBEK MEDICAL JOURNAL SSN 2181-0664 Doi Journal 10.26739/2181-0664, 2022 йил стр 56-59
13. Абакумов М.М., Татарина Е.В., Вильк А.П. и др. Особенности диагностики и хирургической тактики при повреждениях груди и живота вследствие суицидальных и аутоагрессивных действий // Хирургия, 2017.- №10.-С.13-17
14. АБАЗОВ А. А. и др. KUYISHLARDA ERTA XIRURGIK DAVOLASH USULLARI //ЖУРНАЛ БИМЕДИЦИНЫ И ПРАКТИКИ. – 2022. – Т. 7. – №. 4.
15. Авазов А. А., Хурсанов Ё. Э. У., Мухаммадиев М. Х. ВОЗМОЖНОСТЬ ПРИМЕНЕНИЯ ИНТЕГРАЛЬНОЙ ШКАЛЫ BISAP ДЛЯ ПРОГНОЗИРОВАНИЯ РАЗВИТИЯ ТЯЖЕЛОГО ОСТРОГО ПАНКРЕАТИТА //Research Focus. – 2022. – Т. 1. – №. 2. – С. 158-164.
16. Авазов А. А., Хурсанов Ё. Э. У., Шакиров Б. М. ҚЎЛНИНГ ЧУҚУР КУЙИШИНИ ДАВОЛАШ ТАКТИКАСИ //Research Focus. – 2022. – №. Special issue 1. – С. 35-42.
17. Авазов А. А., Хурсанов Ё. Э. У., Шакиров Б. М. ҚЎЛНИНГ ЧУҚУР КУЙИШИНИ ДАВОЛАШ ТАКТИКАСИ //Research Focus. – 2022. – №. Special issue 1. – С. 35-42.
18. Алимова Х.П. Болалардаги қўшма жароҳатларда тез тиббий ёрдам кўрсатишни ташкиллаштириш тамойилларини такомиллаштириш // Автореф. дис. ... докт. мед. наук. – Ташкент, 2018. – С.28.
19. Алтыев Б.К., Жабборов Ж.И. Диагностика и лечение больных с синдромом внутрибрюшной гипертензии в ургентной хирургии// Хирургия Узбекистана – 2018. 3. –с.5-6.
20. Ахмедов Р. Ф. и др. Диагностическая значимость уровня прокальцитонина при ожоговой болезни //Журнал Неотложная хирургия им. ИИ Джанелидзе. – 2021. – №. S1. – С. 11-12.
21. Ахмедов Р. Ф. и др. Наш опыт лечения ожогового сепсиса //Журнал Неотложная хирургия им. ИИ Джанелидзе. – 2021. – №. S1. – С. 10-11.

22. Ибрагимов Ф.И. Особенности тактики лечения при сочетанных травмах живота и таза // Хирургия, 2018.-N 10.-С.34-38.
23. Клевено В.А., Максимов А.В. Клинико-морфологический анализ ошибочной диагностики травмы грудной клетки и живота // Вятский медицинский вестник, 2019.-N 3.-С.31-35.
24. Коноваленко М.В., Сучкова В.А. Оценка эффективности применения дифференцированного лечебно-диагностического алгоритма при закрытой травме живота в травмоцентре I уровня // Известия Российской Военномедицинской академии. – 2018. – Т. 37, № 1 (S1). – С. 331–333.
25. Корита В.Р., Сидоренко М.Г. Повреждения 12-перстной кишки при травме живота // Врач скорой помощи, 2018.-N 3.-С.28-31.
26. Курбонов Н. А., Ахмедов Р. Ф. MODERN APPROACHES TO THE TREATMENT OF DEEP BURNING PATIENTS //УЗБЕКСКИЙ МЕДИЦИНСКИЙ ЖУРНАЛ. – 2022. – Т. 3. – №. 2.
27. Мустафакулов И. Б., Карабаев Х. К., Джураева З. А. AMNIOTIC MEMBRANE-AS AN EFFECTIVE BIOLOGICAL WOUND COVERING //УЗБЕКСКИЙ МЕДИЦИНСКИЙ ЖУРНАЛ. – 2021. – №. SPECIAL 1.
28. Мустафакулов И. Б., Умедов Х. А. SURGICAL TACTICS IN CASE OF ISOLATED INJURIES OF SMALL AND LARGE INTESTINE //УЗБЕКСКИЙ МЕДИЦИНСКИЙ ЖУРНАЛ. – 2022. – Т. 3. – №. 2.
29. Мустафакулов И.Б., Мамараджабов С.Э., Умедов Х.А., Джураева З.А., Применение «damage control» в экстренной хирургии при сочетанной абдоминальной травмы. Международный научный журнал «Проблемы биологии и медицины» 2022 №139 <http://pbim.uz/> стр 345-349
30. Мустафакулов И.Б., Умедов Х.А., СОВРЕМЕННЫЕ ТАКТИЧЕСКИЕ ПОДХОДЫ В ЛЕЧЕНИИ ТРАВМАТИЧЕСКИХ ПОВРЕЖДЕНИЙ ПЕЧЕНИ //Журнал гепато-гастроэнтерологических исследований. – 2020. – Т. 1. – №. 2. – С. 48-51.
31. Мустафакулов И.Б., и Умедов Х.А., . "СИНДРОМ ВНУТРИБРЮШНОЙ ГИПЕРТЕНЗИИ ПРИ СОЧЕТАННЫХ АБДОМИНАЛЬНЫХ ТРАВМАХ." Журнал гепато-гастроэнтерологических исследований 1.2 (2020): 52-55.
32. Мустафакулов И.Б., Умедов Х. СИНДРОМ ВНУТРИБРЮШНОЙ ГИПЕРТЕНЗИИ ПРИ СОЧЕТАННЫХ АБДОМИНАЛЬНЫХ ТРАВМАХ //Журнал гепато-гастроэнтерологических исследований. – 2020. – Т. 1. – №. 2. – С. 52-55.
33. Рузибоев С. А., Авазов А. А., Хурсанов Е. Э. СРАВНИТЕЛЬНЫЕ РЕЗУЛЬТАТЫ ЛЕЧЕНИЯ ПОСЛЕОПЕРАЦИОННЫХ И РЕЦИДИВНЫХ ГРЫЖ ПЕРЕДНЕЙ БРЮШНОЙ СТЕНКИ //Research Focus. – 2022. – Т. 1. – №. 2. – С. 184-191.
34. Рузибоев С. А., Авазов А. А., Хурсанов Е. Э. СРАВНИТЕЛЬНЫЕ РЕЗУЛЬТАТЫ ЛЕЧЕНИЯ ПОСЛЕОПЕРАЦИОННЫХ И РЕЦИДИВНЫХ ГРЫЖ ПЕРЕДНЕЙ БРЮШНОЙ СТЕНКИ //Research Focus. – 2022. – Т. 1. – №. 2. – С. 184-191.
35. Саттаров Ш. Х., Рузобаев С. А., Хурсанов Ё. Э. ОПТИМИЗАЦИЯ ПУТИ КОРРЕКЦИИ ЭНДОТОКСИКОЗА ПРИ ОСТРОМ ПЕРИТОНИТЕ (ОБЗОР ЛИТЕРАТУРЫ) //Research Focus. – 2022. – Т. 1. – №. 2. – С. 144-150.
36. Саттаров Ш. Х., Рузобаев С. А., Хурсанов Ё. Э. РЕЗУЛЬТАТЫ ЛЕЧЕНИЯ ОСТРОГО РАЗЛИТОГО ГНОЙНОГО ПЕРИТОНИТА С ПРИМЕНЕНИЕМ ЛАПАРОСТОМИИ //Research Focus. – 2022. – Т. 1. – №. 2. – С. 238-242.