METHODOLOGY FOR TEACHING UNITS OF MASS AND VOLUME IN PRIMARY CLASSES

Abdullayeva Barno Sayfutdinovna
Doctor of Pedagogy, prof. of Tashkent State Pedagogical University named after Nizami
Mamadjanova Muslima
Master student of Namangan State Pedagogical Institute
https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10789884

Abstract: This study explores an innovative methodology for teaching units of mass and volume in primary classes. The research aims to enhance young learners' comprehension through a structured approach that combines interactive lectures, practical demonstrations, and group activities. Customized educational materials and pre/post-assessment tests were utilized to measure the effectiveness of the methodology. Results indicate significant improvements, providing valuable insights for educators. The discussion interprets findings within pedagogical theories, addressing methodology strengths and limitations. This research contributes to primary education by offering a systematic approach to improve understanding of mass and volume concepts.

Keywords: Primary education, methodology, units of mass, units of volume, interactive learning, hands-on activities, pedagogical theories, educational materials, assessment, conceptual understanding.

МЕТОДИКА ПРЕПОДАВАНИЯ ЕДИНИЦ МАССЫ И ОБЪЕМА В НАЧАЛЬНЫХ КЛАССАХ

Аннотация: В данном исследовании исследуется инновационная методика преподавания единиц массы и объема в начальных классах. Исследование направлено на улучшение понимания юными учениками языка посредством структурированного подхода, сочетающего интерактивные лекции, практические демонстрации и групповые занятия. Для измерения эффективности методологии использовались индивидуальные учебные материалы и тесты до и после оценки. Результаты указывают на значительные улучшения, предоставляя ценную информацию для преподавателей. В ходе дискуссии интерпретируются выводы педагогических теорий, рассматриваются сильные и слабые стороны методологии. Это исследование вносит вклад в начальное образование, предлагая систематический подход к улучшению понимания понятий массы и объема.

Ключевые слова: начальное образование, методика, единицы массы, единицы объема, интерактивное обучение, практическая деятельность, педагогические теории, учебные материалы, оценка, концептуальное понимание.

INTRODUCTION

In the foundational years of primary education, the comprehension of fundamental concepts lays the groundwork for a student's academic journey. Among these, the understanding of units of mass and volume holds particular significance, forming the basis for scientific literacy and mathematical reasoning. This study embarks on an exploration of an innovative methodology designed to impart these essential concepts to primary school students effectively. The imperative of establishing a robust foundation in early education cannot be overstated, especially when it comes to abstract notions like mass and volume. Traditional teaching methods often struggle to engage young minds in a manner that fosters not only comprehension but a lasting retention of

these critical principles. As such, this research endeavors to bridge this gap by introducing and implementing a carefully crafted methodology that integrates interactive learning experiences.

The introduction serves as a prelude to the subsequent sections, shedding light on the necessity of innovative teaching approaches to nurture a solid grasp of units of mass and volume in primary school students. In doing so, this research aims to contribute valuable insights to the realm of primary education, offering educators a systematic and effective tool for shaping the scientific and mathematical aptitude of the next generation.

METHODS

Participants: The study engaged a diverse group of primary school students, ensuring representation across various backgrounds and learning abilities. A purposive sampling method was employed to select participants, considering factors such as age, prior knowledge, and socioeconomic status to enhance the study's external validity.

Materials: Customized educational materials were developed to create an immersive learning environment. These materials included interactive worksheets, three-dimensional models illustrating mass and volume concepts, and a variety of measuring tools such as scales, graduated cylinders, and measuring cups.

Procedure: The teaching methodology was implemented over a structured time frame, emphasizing a combination of interactive lectures, practical demonstrations, and collaborative group activities. The curriculum was designed to progress from basic concepts to more complex applications, allowing students to build a comprehensive understanding over the course of the study. Assessment tools, both pre- and post-implementation, were employed to measure the efficacy of the methodology. Pre-assessment gauged students' baseline understanding, while post-assessment evaluated their progress and retention of concepts. The assessments included both theoretical knowledge assessments and practical exercises to ensure a holistic evaluation. Regular feedback sessions were conducted to address any challenges encountered during the learning process and to gather insights into students' perceptions of the methodology. The iterative nature of these feedback loops facilitated continuous improvement and refinement of the teaching approach throughout the study.

Data Analysis: Quantitative data from assessments were analyzed using statistical methods to measure the significance of improvement in students' comprehension of units of mass and volume. Qualitative data from feedback sessions were thematically analyzed to identify recurring patterns and refine the methodology further. This comprehensive approach to the methods employed in this study aims to provide a detailed account of the implemented teaching methodology, ensuring transparency and replicability for educators and researchers interested in enhancing the understanding of mass and volume concepts in primary school settings.

RESULTS

The results of this study highlight the effectiveness of the implemented methodology in enhancing primary school students' understanding of units of mass and volume. The findings are presented through a synthesis of quantitative and qualitative data, offering a comprehensive overview of the impact of the teaching approach.

Quantitative Results: Analysis of pre- and post-assessment data revealed a statistically significant improvement in students' knowledge of mass and volume concepts. The average scores increased significantly, indicating a positive correlation between the implemented methodology and enhanced comprehension. Notably, the post-assessment results demonstrated a higher level of retention and application of learned concepts. Qualitative Results: Feedback sessions provided

valuable qualitative insights into the students' experiences with the methodology. Themes emerging from the feedback included increased engagement, improved conceptual clarity, and heightened enthusiasm towards learning about mass and volume. Students expressed a preference for hands-on activities, highlighting the effectiveness of the practical demonstrations and collaborative group exercises.

Practical Application: Observations of students applying learned concepts in practical scenarios further validated the methodology's real-world relevance. The ability to translate theoretical knowledge into practical skills demonstrated a holistic understanding, affirming the methodology's success in fostering a comprehensive grasp of units of mass and volume. These results collectively indicate that the implemented methodology not only positively influenced students' theoretical knowledge but also instilled a practical understanding that goes beyond rote memorization. The statistical significance and qualitative feedback underscore the potential of this teaching approach in primary education settings.

DISCUSSION

The discussion section delves into the interpretation of the study's results, placing them within the broader context of pedagogical theories, educational practices, and implications for primary education. It explores the strengths and limitations of the implemented methodology and addresses potential adjustments for future applications.

Integration with Pedagogical Theories: The observed improvement in students' understanding aligns with constructivist pedagogical theories, emphasizing hands-on experiences and collaborative learning. The interactive nature of the methodology fosters active engagement, allowing students to construct their knowledge of units of mass and volume, rather than passively receiving information. Practical Relevance and Real-World Application: The ability of students to apply theoretical knowledge in practical scenarios underscores the real-world relevance of the methodology. This aligns with the principle of contextual learning, suggesting that a deeper understanding of abstract concepts can be achieved when learners see their practical applications in everyday life.

Student Engagement and Motivation: Positive feedback regarding increased engagement and enthusiasm during the learning process is a noteworthy aspect of the methodology's success. This aligns with motivation theory, suggesting that a dynamic and interactive approach can enhance intrinsic motivation, leading to a more effective learning experience. Limitations and Areas for Improvement: While the study demonstrates positive outcomes, it is essential to acknowledge limitations. The sample size, although diverse, may not capture the full spectrum of primary school populations. Additionally, the study's short duration raises questions about the long-term retention of learned concepts. Future research should address these limitations and explore the sustained impact of the methodology over an extended period.

Comparison with Traditional Teaching Methods: A comparative analysis with traditional teaching methods could provide further insights into the superiority of the implemented methodology. Future studies may explore the efficacy of this approach in comparison to conventional techniques to strengthen the evidence supporting its advantages. Generalization to Broader Educational Contexts: While the study's findings are promising, caution must be exercised in generalizing the results to broader educational contexts. Variations in curriculum, teacher expertise, and student demographics could impact the transferability of the methodology. Further research, including multi-site studies, can contribute to establishing the generalizability of the approach.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, this study has explored a methodology for teaching units of mass and volume in primary classes, employing a structured approach that combines interactive learning, practical demonstrations, and collaborative activities. The results demonstrated a significant improvement in students' comprehension, both quantitatively and qualitatively. The positive outcomes align with constructivist theories, emphasizing active engagement and contextual learning. The real-world application of theoretical knowledge, heightened student engagement, and positive feedback highlight the effectiveness of the implemented methodology. However, it is crucial to acknowledge limitations, such as the sample size and the need for long-term retention studies. The methodology's potential for scalability and comparison with traditional teaching methods warrant further investigation.

References:

1. Anderson, J. R., Reder, L. M., & Simon, H. A. (1996). Situated learning and education. Educational Researcher, 25(4), 5-11.
2. Ausubel, D. P. (1968). Educational psychology: A cognitive view. Holt, Rinehart and Winston.
3. Bandura, A. (1986). Social foundations of thought and action: A social cognitive theory. Prentice-Hall.
4. Piaget, J. (1970). Piaget's theory. In P. H. Mussen (Ed.), Carmichael's manual of child psychology (Vol. 1, pp. 703-732). Wiley.
5. Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes. Harvard University Press.
6. Harlen, W., & Qualter, A. (2009). The teaching of science in primary schools (5th ed.). Routledge.
7. National Council of Teachers of Mathematics. (2000). Principles and standards for school mathematics. Reston, VA: NCTM.
8. Shulman, L. S. (1986). Those who understand: Knowledge growth in teaching. Educational researcher, 15(2), 4-14.
9. Trowbridge, L. W., & Bybee, R. W. (1996). Teaching secondary school science: Strategies for developing scientific literacy. Prentice Hall.
10. Wiggins, G., & McTighe, J. (2005). Understanding by design. ASCD.